# **Global Warming: Fact, Fiction or Future?**

### Sanjay S. Limaye Presented by Paolo Antonelli

Space Science and Engineering Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

AROW/SWANA/WCSWMA Winter Conference Oshkosh, Wisconsin February 2008

## What controls the Earth's Temperature?



- Almost all of the energy is received from the Sun
- Earth radiates heat to space
- Small amount of heat from interior (left over from the formation of the earth and nuclear reactions)

External Energy Input = Energy Loss to Space over time



### EARTH'S ENERGY BUDGET



### The Greenhouse effect

### A T M O S P H E R E

Some solar radiation is reflected by the atmosphere and earth's surface Outgoing solar radiation: 103 Watt per m<sup>2</sup> Some of the infrared radiation passes through the atmosphere and is lost in space

Not outgoing intrared radiation: 200 Welk per m<sup>2</sup>

S

### GREENHOUSE GAS

Solar radiation passes through the clear atmosphere. Incoming solar radiation: 343 Watt per m<sup>2</sup>

N

Some of the infrared radiation is absorbed and re-emitted by the greenhouse gas molecules. The direct effect is the warming of the earth's surface and the troposphere.

> Surface gains more heat and infrared radiation is emitted again

> > - B

Solar energy is absorbed by the earth's surface and warms it... 168 Watt per m<sup>2</sup>

... and is converted into heat causing the emission of longwave (infrared) radiation back to the atmosphere

Sources: Okanagan university college in Canada, Department of geography, University of Oxford, school of geography; United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Washington; Climate change 1995. The science of climate change, contribution of working group 1 to the second assessment report of the intercovernmental ganet on climate change. UNEP and WMO, Cambridge university gress, 1996.

### **Energy Transport to High Latitudes**



Energy has to move toward the poles by ocean currents and winds - the reason we have weather systems.

### What Controls the temperature of a planet?

Spectrum 10000 Ideal Planck curve for solar radiation arriving at top of Earth's atmosphere Solar radiation spectrum after Energy flux density (Wm<sup>-2</sup>m<sup>-1</sup>) 00 00 atmospheric absorption Terrestrial radiation spectrum after atmospheric Ide I Planck absorption curve for terrestrial radiation spectrum (255K) 10 0.1 10 100 Wavelength (µm)

Heat Input and Loss for the Earth

## The Greenhouse Effect

- Sunlight (visible energy) is let through down to the surface of the earth during the day to heat the earth
- Its cooled by infrared radiation to space
- Atmospheric constituents, gasses and clouds obstruct some of the infrared cooling
- The earth's temperature is higher than its radiative balance because of green house radiative processes (largest gas is water vapor)

## **Planetary Comparison**

Mars

Thin atmosphere (Almost all CO<sub>2</sub> in ground) Average temperature : - 50°C



Earth 0,03% of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere Average temperature : + 15°C

> Venus Thick atmosphere containing 96% of CO<sub>2</sub> Average temperature : + 420°C

Planets and atmospheres

We could end up like Venus



GRAPHIC DESIGN : PHILIPPE REKACEWICZ

Sources: Calvin J. Hamilton, Views of the solar system, www.planetscapes.com; Bill Arnett , The nine planets, a multimedia tour of the solar system, www.seds.org/billa/tnp/hineplanets.html

### Global atmospheric concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>



Sources: TP Whorf. Scripps, Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawali, institution of oceanography (SIO), university of California La Jolla, California, United States, 1999.

### Global Distribution of CO2 Emissions

Cumulative Carbon Emissions, 1950 - 1999



### Other Sources of Greenhouse Gases

- Landfills for solid waste disposal
- Dormant volcanoes
- Release from oceans and large lakes

### Methane

- Methane is emitted from a variety of both human-related (anthropogenic) and natural sources. It is estimated that 60% of global methane emissions are related to humanrelated activities (IPCC, 2001c). Natural sources of methane include wetlands, gas hydrates, permafrost, termites, oceans, freshwater bodies, non-wetland soils, and other sources such as wildfires.
- Methane emission levels from a source can vary significantly from one country or region to another, depending on many factors such as climate, industrial and agricultural production characteristics, energy types and usage, and waste management practices. Also, the implementation of technologies to capture and utilize methane from sources such as landfills, coal mines, and manure management systems affects the emission levels from these sources.

### Where People Live... landfills are not too far!



Night time portrait of the Earth from Satellite Images: The industrialized part of the earth

### U.S. Methane Emissions by Source (TgCO<sub>2</sub> Equivalents)

Methane Source	1990	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Landfills	172.2	147.4	138.5	134.0	130.7	126.2	126.8	131.2
Natural Gas Systems	128.3	133.6	131.8	127.4	132.1	131.8	130.6	125.9
Enteric Fermentation	117.9	118.3	116.7	116.8	115.6	114.5	114.6	115.0
Coal Mining	81.9	62.6	62.8	58.9	56.2	55.6	52.4	53.8
Manure Management	31.2	36.4	38.8	38.8	38.1	38.9	39.3	39.1
Wastewater Treatment	24.8	31.7	32.6	33.6	34.3	34.7	35.8	36.8
Petroleum Systems	20.0	18.8	18.5	17.8	17.6	17.4	17.1	17.1
Rice Cultivation	7.1	7.5	7.9	8.3	7.5	7.6	6.8	6.9
Stationary Sources	7.8	7.4	6.9	7.1	7.3	6.7	6.4	6.7
Abandoned Coal Mines	6.1	8.1	7.2	7.3	7.7	6.9	6.4	6.4
Mobile Sources	4.8	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.7
Other	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3
Total for U.S.	605.3	579.5	569.3	557.3	554.2	546.7	542.3	544.9

http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/content/ResourceCenterPublicationsGHGEmissionsUSEmissionsInventory2005.html

## Landfills



### EPA's U.S. inventory report

provides a detailed description on methane emissions from landfills and how they are estimated (see the Chapter entitled "Waste").

Landfills are the largest humanrelated source of methane in the U.S., accounting for 34% of all methane emissions. Methane is generated in landfills and open dumps as waste decomposes under anaerobic (without oxygen) conditions. The amount of methane created depends on the quantity and moisture content of the waste and the design and management practices at the site.

## Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

 Any reduction in the solid waste ending up in the landfills helps reduce emission of greenhouse gases...

# Featured farm power project



Holsum Irish Dairy, Hilbert, WI. About 30 miles south of Green Bay, Wisconsin 3500 cows chew their cud. While each one awaits her turn in the milking parlor, her chewing does more than fill her udders with milk. Dairy cows are well-fed so they produce lots of milk and, let's face it, cows are like people in that the more they eat, the more waste they produce.

When Holsum Irish welcomed its first cows, its waste handling system consisted of a flush system and a lagoon. Water ran underneath the barn's slotted floors, washing the waste into a large outdoor pond with bermed edges. And there it sat underneath the liquid, the organic solids decomposed. Unlike compost-style processes which give off carbon dioxide - completing a balanced carbon cycle the collected manure decayed without oxygen and hence produced methane gas, which bubbled to the top of the pond and escaped to the atmosphere. Methane is 21 times as damaging as carbon dioxide when it comes to global warming, so the methane's escape was not good for the planet.

## Featured farm power project...cont.



Kenn Buelow, Holsum's manager, decided to install an anerobic digester. they're like a next-generation pond, a waste receptacle with engineering added. Today, the digester and associated changes in waste handling allow Holsum to capture the methane and feed it to generators which power the dairy. The digester also transforms the products left over when the methane is gone. They're not waste. The digested solids are clean and used as cow bedding. The nitrogen-rich liquid is used a fertilizer and can now be pumped over the fields instead of trucked. It's a win-win-win-win.

### Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> - selected countries (1995)



GRAPHIC DESIGN : PHILIPPE REKACEWICZ



Source : International Energy Agency, 1998.

http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/graphics/1997top20.gif



## Top 20 (1997 total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions)

1997 Ran I	¢	1950 Rank				
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (17) (18) (19) (20)	USA China Russia Japan India Germany UK Canada South Korea Italy Ukraine Mexico Poland France South Africa Australia Brazil Iran Saudi Arabia North Korea	$(1) \\ (10) \\ (FSU=2) \\ (9) \\ (13) \\ (3) \\ (4) \\ (7) \\ (58) \\ (17) \\ (FSU=2) \\ (20) \\ (8) \\ (5) \\ (14) \\ (15) \\ (25) \\ (164) \\ (47) \\ (73) \\ (73) (10) \\ (10) \\ (10) \\ (11) \\ (11) \\ (11) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (12) \\ (13) \\ (13) \\ (14) \\ (15) \\ (14) \\ (15) \\ (15) \\ (16) \\ (16) \\ (17) \\ (14) \\ (15) \\ (164) \\ (47) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (14) \\ (15) \\ (164) \\ (17) \\ $				
			0 10 20 30 40 50 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	; f		
			Fercentage or world Feroapita Methors of	Carbon		
			Emissions Carbon	Carbon		

Industrial developing countries are rapidly increasing CO2 output !!



# Modeling and prediction is difficult

### many process are hard to quantify



### Energy Exchange Processes to Consider in Modeling and Prediction



## **Offsetting Processes**

### The cooling factors



Sources: Radiative forcing of climate change, the 1994 report of the scientific assessment working group of IPCC, summary for policymakers, WMO, UNEP; L.D. Danny Harvey, Climate and global environmental change, Prentice Hall, pearson Education, Harlow, United Kingdom, 2000.

## **Time Trend of Solar Radiation**



## History of CO2 and climate



Source: J.R. Petit, J. Jouzel, et al. Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420 000 years from the Vostok ice core in Antarctica, Nature 399 (3JUne), pp 429-436, 1999.

## What We Would Like to Know

- Will the warming go to and extreme like Venus?
- What are the physical processes (and variables) that cause and control climate?
- How well can we predict climate?

## Simple Arguments to Consider

- Models used to predict climate change can not run backwards to explain our current climate.
- Regional changes have been over predicted
- Accurate prediction of weather events can only be done out to ~5 days (currently)
- The Earth's past very warm periods were very biologically productive
- The radiative effect of CO2 while being small is constant and growing and will not be diminished by weather itself
- There is a correlation of CO2 with climate changes in the past

### Summary - What We Know

- CO2 is increasing and will continue to increase
- Our climate is warming
- Biological species are changing .....

## What we are recognizing

- Environmental changes induced by global warming are now recognized even as possible causes of conflicts:
- There is little hope of peace in war-ravaged Sudan unless it addresses widespread environmental damage and climate change, a UN study has found

(from BBC http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/africa/6230616.stm)

### Future...

- Carbon dioxide emissions increasing
- Earth will warm up more
- Ocean currents could change
- Models predict more weather extremes
- Sea levels expected to rise

### Observed Average Earth ⇒ Temperature





### ← Projected Earth Average Temperature

### Sea level rise due to global warming

Sea level rise scenarios for 2100

Sea level rise over the last century

#### Centimeters Centimeters 120 -8 Solid lines represent various scenarios Annual sea level change including changes in aerosols beyond 1990. Dashed lines show the sce-5-year running mean IS92e 100 narios with constant 1990 aerosol. 4 80 0 60 IS92a -4 40 -8 20 IS92c - 12 0 1920 1900 1940 1960 1980 1880 2000 2020 2040 2060 2080 2100 $(\uparrow)$ endal UNEP GRAPHIC DESIGN 1PHILIPPE REKACEWICZ

Source: Climate change 1996, The science of climate change, contribution of working group 1 to the second assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, UNEP and WMO, Cambridge university press, 1996; Sea level rise over the last century, adapted from Gormitz and Lebedeff, 1967.

## Expectations

- Greater weather variability and weather extremes, especially at higher latitudes
- Changes in agricultural output and geographic zones of "native" species
- Sea Level changes
- Unknown, but anticipated economic impacts

# **Final Thoughts**

- The news media is giving attention mostly to the prediction of climate disaster rather then focusing on possible solutions to mitigate human influence on global warming.
- Based on scientific evidence, there is full consensus in the scientific community that human activity is influencing the climate through increased carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuels. This is being done inspite of the uncertainty of the models because by the time a CO2 affect could be proved, we may not be able to fix the problem.

## Things we can do ..

- Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
- Use Less Heat and Air Conditioning
- Change a Light Bulb
- Drive Less and Drive Smart
- Buy Energy-Efficient Products
- Use Less Hot Water
- Use the "Off" Switch
- Plant a Tree
- Get a Report Card from Your Utility Company
- Encourage Others to Conserve

### Trend in global average surface temperature



Source: School of environmental sciences, climatic research unit, university of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom, 1999.

15. The figure shows the combined land-surface air and sea surface temperatures (degrees Centigrade) 1861 to 1998, relative to the average temperature between 1961 and 1990

The mean global surface temperature has increased by about 0.3 to 0.6°C since the late 19th century and by about 0.2 to 0.3°C over the last 40 years, which is the period with most reliable data. Recent years have been among the warmest since 1860 - the period for which instrumental records are available.

### **Radiative forcing**



Source: Climate change 1995, The science of climate change, contribution of working group 1 to the second assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, UNEP and WMO, Cambridge university press, 1996.